From Observation to Prediction: The up hill battle of Computational Surgery

M. Garbey^{1,3}, Barbara Bass^{2,3}

¹ Computer Science Department, University of Houston

² Department of Surgery, The Methodist Hospital, Houston

³ Methodist Institute for Technology Innovation and Education, Houston



Multi Scale Modeling Questions

- A. Hypothesis-driven research: how MSM can be used to
- A.1. refine the hypothesis for experimental validation;

A.2. define a related hypothesis (a corollary) that can be more readily tested.

B. When can a virtual experiment be considered a reasonable way to test a clinical hypothesis?

c. How can we use models to optimize the experimental plan, design clinical trials, accelerate translation, reduce risk of therapeutic failure?

D. What are common bottlenecks in the MSM approach?

E. Effective strategies to ensure integration and consistency within and between models and model parts.

F. How to go from MSM demonstration of mechanism to clinically-relevant measures (imaging, heatmaps, physiologic variability, etc.) and back.

le Modeling

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive



ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface uction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue сI I Scan to Tissue c II e Base Simulation process model ales Model g Validation ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound ase Model

hema

ed Computing ure

ed Computing

ork

Breast Conservative Therapy: From Observation to Predictive Model ?



Background and Hypothesis

Background

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue c I I Scan to Tissue c I e Base Simulation process model ales Model g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing ure ed Computing ork The treatment of early breast stage carcinoma will usually involve mastectomy (complete breast removal) or alternatively lumpectomy (only tumor removal).

Lumpectomy followed by radiotherapy is labeled as Breast Conserving Therapy (BCT). The goal of BCT is to achieve local control of the cancer as well as to preserve a breast that satisfies the woman's cosmetic concerns. While most women undergo partial mastectomy with satisfactory cosmetic results, in many patients the remaining breast is left with major cosmetic defects

Hypothesis

The complex interplay between mechanical forces due to gravity, breast tissue constitutive law distribution, and internal stress generated by the healing process play a dominant role in determining the success or failure of lumpectomy in preserving the breast shape.



Aim

ale Modeling S

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface uction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue I Scan to Tissue c II e Base Simulation process model ales Model y Validation ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing ure ed Computing ork

1. Define the immediate impact of tissue removal on breast deformation as a function of the tumor location and size.

2. Model the temporal interplay between the mechanical forces, the radiotherapy and the biology process involved in tissue formation and plasticity to predict long term effect of lumpectomy on breast shape recovery.

3. Test the predictive multiscale model of breast lumpectomy and healing in patients undergoing BCT for breast cancer and identify target for improvement in BCT.

Needs for an Interdisciplinary Team!



Tool Box

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface uction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue сI I Scan to Tissue c II e Base Simulation process model ales Model g Validation ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound ase Model

hema

ed Computing

ure

ed Computing

ork





Clinical Protocol

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol

ent - Surface uction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue сI I Scan to Tissue c II e Base Simulation process model ales Model g Validation ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound ase Model hema ed Computing

ure

ed Computing ork





First Patient - Surface Reconstruction

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol

ent - Surface ruction

Imaging -

ation Indicator

c Change I Scan to Tissue

cl

I Scan to Tissue

c II

e Base Simulation

process

model

ales Model

g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing ure ed Computing ork





Thermal Imaging - Inflammation Indicator

ale Modeling s

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface uction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue сI I Scan to Tissue c II e Base Simulation process model

ales Model

g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound

ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema

ed Computing

ure

ed Computing

ork

bey, May 3, 2013



Higure 1

Ele Edit View Iment Jonis Desitop Wordow Help

37

35

35

Ē 34

33

32

31

왜

Ē











ÖTUN



32.3 1

Left breast at week 34 (*C)

OTL IN

Right treast at week 34 (°C)



Temperature of the right breast (magenta) and loft breast (ryak) 38 m

:20

Number of weeks after surgery

IBM - p. 9/26



Anatomic Change

- ale Modeling Is
- servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive
- und and Hypothesis
- Protocol ent - Surface
- uction
- Imaging -
- ation Indicator
- Conange
- I Scan to Tissue c I I Scan to Tissue
- c II
- e Base Simulation
- process
- model
- ales Model
- g Validation
- ulation Initial Wound
- ulation 0 Wound -
- ase Model
- hema
- ed Computing
- ure
- ed Computing
- ork









From MRI Scan to Tissue Mechanic I

- ale Modeling Is
- servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive
- und and Hypothesis
- Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue c I I Scan to Tissue c I e Base Simulation process
- model
- ales Model
- g Validation
- ulation Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -
- ase Model hema ed Computing ure ed Computing
- ork



- First Reconstruct three D shape of the patient in prone position.
- Second Classify tissue in cluster of fat/glandular tissue.

From MRI Scan to Tissue Mechanic II

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol

ent - Surface

uction

Imaging -

ation Indicator

c Change

I Scan to Tissue

c I RI Scan to Tissue

c II

e Base Simulation

orocess

model

ales Model

g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model

hema

ed Computing

ure

ed Computing

ork

bey, May 3, 2013

Comparison between actual outline (red) and simulation (blue)

MRI height map showing missing MRI data in lower part of the breasts.

- Third Get the shape in standing position thanks to an hyper-elastic model of tissue deformation.
- Fourth Validate the tissue deformation model.

3D Image Base Simulation

Basic Principal of Virtual Lumpectomy

ed Computing

ure

ork

Healing process

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change RI Scan to Tissue c I RI Scan to Tissue c I

e Base Simulation

model

ales Model

g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing

ure

ed Computing

ork

Simplified three step process:

Inflammation:

- □ blood clot providing homeostasis.
- □ recruiting of macrophage
- \Box cavity fill up with liquid and pressure build up
- Tissue Formation

 cells (fibroblast for example) recruiting at the boundary of the wound due to the loss of contact inhibition.
 production of collagen that polymers into fibers which help contracting the wound.

Tissue Remodeling

 combination of cell migration and cell mitosis activated by Growth Factor (GF) in a boundary layer.
 collagen fibers reorganized as a function of mechanical factors.

Add Chemotherapy + Radiotherapy Effect

Level set model

Goal

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue c I I Scan to Tissue c I e Base Simulation process

r model ales Model g Validation ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing ure ed Computing ork Phenomenological model that ignores the detailed biology and keep the essential on wall remodeling and wound healing as a function of local mechanical conditions.

Input: Wound healing normal velocity law

Output: Dynamic of healing and wound closing

Example: from Javierre, Vermolen, Vuik and Van der Zwaag in Al J.Math. Biol. 2009.

Ref Garbey, Thanoon, Salom, Bass, JSSCM 2011.

Remark: analogous technique on vein graft remodeling (ref Tran Son Tay, Hwang, Garbey, Jiang, Osaki and Berceli, Annal Biomed 2008 and ongoing work)

Multi Scales Model

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface uction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue сI I Scan to Tissue c II e Base Simulation process

model

les Model

g Validation ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing

ure ed Computing

ork

pey, May 3, 2013

Figure 1: Illustration of the two steps Algorithm.

On Going Validation

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change RI Scan to Tissue c I RI Scan to Tissue c I Base Simulation process model ales Model g Validation

ase Model hema ed Computing ure ed Computing

ulation 0 - Wound -

ork

To get the "constitutive normal velocity law" of the wound and calibrate all parameters in the model relies on experimental data.

We follow two complementary methods:

(1) Fitting the model on clinical data with a carefully designed protocol.

(2) Fitting the model to an in silico experiment that mimic wound healing with a bottom approach starting from basic principles in biology; this is the so-called agent base model.

In this feasibility theoretical study, we will only look qualitatively at the influence of each component of the model. The result will be how to simplify further the model, so it might be feasible to calibrate it in clinical conditions.

2d - Simulation - Initial Wound

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue c I I Scan to Tissue

c II e Base Simulation

orocess

model

ales Model

g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound

ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing ure

ed Computing

ork

2d - Simulation 0 - Wound - History

Agent Base Model

Bottom up approach with modeling at the cell level

- Explicit mapping of cells to grid location.
- Basic set of rules to drive cell division, apoptosis, extra cellular matrix production/degeneration
- Production/Absorbtion of key molecules + diffusion
- Random walk of macrophage
- Motion of cells driven by gradient of some "concentration"
- NEW: Mechanical environment set up
- NEW: Multi-scale
- Possibly Angiogenesis -ref Peirce et Al.

Ref Garbey, Thanoon, Salom, Bass, JCP Special issue MSM 2012.

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change RI Scan to Tissue c I Scan to Tissue

- c II e Base Simulation
- process
- model
- ales Model g Validation
- ulation Initial Wound
- ulation 0 Wound -

ase Model

hema ed Computing ure ed Computing

ork

MSM Schema

Players Oxygen, Capillaries, VEGF, TGF- β , PDGF, Fibroblast,

Myofibroblast, Extra-cellular matrix Fat Cells.

Distributed Computing

Results

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change I Scan to Tissue c I I Scan to Tissue c I Base Simulation process model ales Model g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema

ed Computing

ure ed Computing ork

Figure 2: Distributed Architecture.

Architecture

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol ent - Surface ruction Imaging ation Indicator c Change RI Scan to Tissue c I RI Scan to Tissue c I Base Simulation process c model

ales Model g Validation

ulation - Initial Wound

ulation 0 - Wound -

ase Model hema ed Computing

ure

ed Computing ork

	Server PC	Sicortex
OS	Windows Server 2003	Gentoo Linux 2.6.18
CPU number	4	1434
CPU speed	2GHz	600Mhz

Table 1: Machines specifications.

ale Modeling

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

und and Hypothesis

Protocol

uction

сI

c II

rocess model

ales Model

g Validation

ase Model hema ed Computing

ure

ork

Imaging -

ent - Surface

ation Indicator c Change RI Scan to Tissue

I Scan to Tissue

e Base Simulation

ulation - Initial Wound ulation 0 - Wound -

IS

Distributed Computing Framework

Implementation

Heterogeneous network for multiscale

Figure 3: Distributed Architecture.

ed Computing

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

Conclusion

Fujiyama

ale Modeling Is

servative Therapy: rvation to Predictive

Figure 4: At Sea

Some Good Perspective on where we stand!
http://www.computationalsurgery.org